

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/801,968	03/07/2001	Nobuyuki Itoh	PP-17150.001 / 201130.409	8729
500	7590 05/08/2002			
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			EXAMINER	
			SAOUD, CHRISTINE J	
00112 0000	A 98104-7092			
42.11.152 ,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	. 0
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/801,968

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Christine Saoud

1647

ITOH et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	io statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-60</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-60</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional					
15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, 39-40, 42-52, drawn to DNA, vectors, hosts and recombinant methods of use, classified in at least class 435, subclass 69.4, for example.
 - II. Claims 12-18, 22, 53-57, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in at least class 530, subclass 350, for example.
 - III. Claims 19-21, 41, 58-60, drawn to antibodies, classified in at least class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.
 - IV. Claims 23-30, 33-34, drawn to a method of providing trophic support for cells by administration of nucleic acid, classified class 514, subclass 44, for example.
 - V. Claims 32, drawn to a method of providing trophic support for cells by administration of a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - VI. Claim 35, drawn to a method of alleviating a disease of the brain by administration of a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - VII. Claim 36, drawn to a method of alleviating a disease of the thymus by administration of a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - VIII. Claim 37, drawn to a method of alleviating a disease of the skin by administration of a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - IX. Claim 38, drawn to a method of alleviating a disease of the placenta by administration of a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as

Art Unit: 1647

claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II could be made by an entirely different method,

such as by isolation from nature, rather than by the recombinant methods of Group I.

- 4. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related because the polynucleotides of Group I are not required for the production of the antibodies of Group III. Additionally, the compounds are structurally and functionally distinct.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II could be used for an entirely different purpose such as in the method of Group V, rather than for the production of antibodies of Group III.
- 6. Inventions I-III are also are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to chemically different compounds which can be made and used without each other. Furthermore, the inventions of Groups I-III lack a

Art Unit: 1647

common utility which is based upon a common special technical feature which is disclosed as being responsible for the common utility.

- 7. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group I could be used in an entirely different method, such as in a method of hybridization or recombinant production of the polypeptide, rather than in the method of Group IV.
- 8. Inventions I and (V-IX) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related because the polynucleotides of Group I are not required for the methods of Groups V-IX.
- 9. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related because the polypeptides of Group II are not required for the method of Group IV.
- 10. Inventions II and V-IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1647

product claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II could be used in an entirely different method, such as in a method of generating antibodies, rather than in the methods of Groups V-IX.

- 11. Inventions III and IV-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related because the antibodies of Group III are not required for any of the methods of Groups IV-VII.
- 12. Inventions IV-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related because the methods of Groups IV-IX have different goals, method steps, and/or starting materials, and are not required one for the other.

Additional Restriction Requirement

Upon the election of any one of Groups I-IX, Applicant is further required to elect a single invention of polynucleotide (including, but not limited to molecules (a)-(h) of claim 1 and (a)-(h) of claim 42, wherein contiguous portions would be included with the full-length polynucleotide), polypeptide (including, but not limited to molecules (a)-(h) of claim 12, claim 17, claim 18, (a)-(h) of claim 46, wherein contiguous portions with no specific sequence indicated would be included

Art Unit: 1647

with the full length polypeptide), antibodies (wherein a single molecular embodiment of polypeptide must be identified to which the antibody is to bind), and various methods which require an identification of a single molecular embodiment of polynucleotide or polypeptide for practice in the method. This constitutes recitation of an implied, mis-joined Markush group that contains multiple, independent and distinct inventions. Each of the different nucleic acids/ polypeptides/antibodies/ and methods of use are independent and distinct because no common structural or functional properties are shared. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each of the compounds recited in alternative form is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitutes an independent and patentably distinct invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the necessity for non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant should also indicate which claims read on the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Saoud, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7AM to 3PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556. If this number is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternate number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Thus time . Saoud